

## REMARKS

In the last office action, it was indicated that claims 27-37 are allowed, claims 1 and 14 are rejected, and also the claims 2-13 and 15-26 are to be found allowable if placed in a proper form so as not to depend upon a rejected claim.

Accordingly, claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of claim 2, so claim 1 should be allowable. Also, all of claims 3-13 depended either directly on claim 2, or indirectly on claim 2. The claims which prior to being amended depended directly on claim 2 have had their dependency changed so as to depend on claim 1, so these should be in allowable form. Those claims 3-13 which depended upon on claim 1 through an intermediate claim remain unamended. Accordingly, it is believed that those claims 1 and 3-13 should be in allowable form.

Claim 14 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 15, so claim 14 should be found allowable. Those claims which previously depended directly on claim 15 have been amended so that these now depend on claim 14 (which was previously claim 15). Those remaining claims out of claims 15-26 that depended indirectly on claim 15 do not need to be amended, so these remain in their original form. Accordingly, it is submitted that presently submitted claims 14 and 16-26 should be found to be in allowable form.

Thus, it is believed that all of the presently submitted claims should be found to be in allowable form.

Also, objection was made to some of the language which is contained on pages 10, 16, 19, 27 and 28. Those items to which objection was made


have now been remedied, so it is believed that the specification is now in proper form.

Also, an objection was made to the drawings in Fig. 6, in that there was not shown the section line 7-7. Accordingly, there is enclosed with this response a proposed revised drawing showing the section line, with this being designated in the drawings as "New Sheet."

Thus, it is submitted that the present application should be found to be in allowable condition. However, if there is any matter which needs attention and could be expedited by consultation with the Applicant's attorney, such would be welcome. The Applicant's undersigned attorney can normally be reached at the telephone number set forth below.

Signed at Bellingham, County of Whatcom, State of Washington this August 01, 2005.

Respectfully submitted,  
ARVIN SHMILOVICH,

By   
Robert Hughes, Reg. No. 19,304  
Hughes Law Firm, PLLC  
Pacific Meridian Plaza  
4164 Meridian Street, Suite 302  
Bellingham, WA 98226  
(360) 647-1296  
Fax (360) 671-2489